Section 3A Manual Handling Audit Tool

Introduction

The purpose of the audit tool is to ensure that participating organisations can demonstrate compliance with the principles and guidance in the Scottish Manual Handling Passport Scheme (SMHPS). Although participation in the SMHPS is not compulsory, implementation of the guidance contained in it may be taken into account by health and safety inspectors when seeking demonstrations of compliance with the relevant legal requirements.

The audit tool may be used to audit the whole organisation or an individual service. The audit should be conducted by a competent person from within the organisation with knowledge of manual handling (MH), or by an External or Internal Auditor appointed by the organisation. If a competent auditor with no knowledge of MH is conducting the audit, a person from the organisation knowledgeable in MH should be present. The requirement to complete audits of Section 1, 2 and / or 3 of the audit tool is dependent on the nature of the audit and the circumstances in which it is being undertaken. For example, an audit of the training department by a competent person from within the organisation might only involve completion of the audit tool for Section 2, whereas the audit tool for all 3 Sections might be appropriate for an audit of the organisation by an External Auditor.

Administration details

1. Organisation	NHS Board Exemplar	Date of Audit 01/07/2017		
2. Type of Audit (please tick one)	(i) external audit	(i) external audit (ii) internal audit		
a) Audit organisation (if external):	N/A	N/A		
b) Name of auditor:	Person identified by Organisation undertake audit	Person identified by Organisation to undertake audit Signature:		
c) Designation of Knowledgeable Person:	Organisations M&H knowledgable person			
d) Name of Knowledgeable Person:	Auditor and knowledgable person may be the same Signature:			
3. Scope of audit (Please tick one)	(i) Organisation (ii) Part	of Organisation	(iii) Local Department	
If 3ii (Part of Organisation) or 3iii (Local Department, please give details:	N/A			

Audit tool for Scottish Manual Handling Passport Scheme

1	The organisation has documented management arrangements in place to adequately control the risks from manual handling (MH) activities and there is management commitment and support to implement the MH strategy			
1.1	The organisation has their MH management arrangements documented and this complies with current legislation and guidance Example of evidence: • Current MH policy or procedure including key elements outlined in SMHPS policy framework Reference section 1.1 and 1.2	Yes	Comments Evidence 1 - Moving and Handling Policy approved by appropriate organisational forum and Senior Manager / Director The evidence documentation referred to here, is attached separately in the 'Evidence Record' form.	
1.2	The MH management arrangements are communicated at all levels. Examples of evidence: Organisational/ local induction Training Intranet/locally held policies Quarterly / annual reports to Board Level / Senior Management Teams Health and Safety Committee Risk Management Committee Confirmed in discussion with employees Reference section 1.1	Yes	Evidence 1 - Policy provided on Organisations internet webpages - Policy communicated through H&S committees and operational management distribution list for dissemination - Arrangements communicated via various training modalities including elearning, foundation training, coaching etc	

2	The organisation identifies competent individuals to undertake key MH roles			
2.	Person(s) undertaking key MH roles at strategic,	Outcome	Comments	
	organisational and / or local level are identified	Yes	Evidence 1, see section 5	
	 Examples of evidence: MH management arrangements identify responsible person(s) The roles are clearly defined through eg job description, role profiles or procedural arrangements 		Evidence 2 - Job descriptions of central M&H Team	
	Reference section 1.1, 1.2 and 3B.2			
2.	Person(s) undertaking key MH roles meet the relevant competency criteria detailed in Section 3B.2	Yes	Evidence 3 - Mapping of Job Roles to SMHPS Competencies and identified evidence against each	
	Examples of evidence: • System in place for ensuring competence of those undertaking key MH roles as detailed in Section 3B.2 Reference section 1.1 and 3B			

3	The organisation allocates sufficient resources to implement, develop and deliver the MH education strategy in line with the MH management arrangements			
3.1	There is access to sufficient MH practitioners to deliver the	Outcome	Comments	
	MH strategy.	Yes	Evidence 1, see section 6 and 2	
	 Examples of evidence: MH management arrangement documentation Job descriptions / role profiles The strategy is being delivered timeously Evidence of workplace MH practitioners eg link workers, key workers Reference section 1.1, 1.2 and 1.7			

3.2	There is a maximum ratio of 1 trainer to 8 trainees (or 2:16)	Outcome	Comments
	for practical people handling sessions and 1 to 10 for	Yes	Evidence 1 - see section 6
	inanimate load handling sessions.		Evidence 4 - Analysis of training records
	Examples of evidence:		
	Trainer notes		
	Training procedure / risk assessments		
	Attendance sheets		
	Training programmes / course plans		
	Reference section 1.4		
3.3	Sufficient and appropriate equipment and training resources are provided to support the SMHPS training requirements.	Yes	Evidence 5 - Equipment inventory
	Examples of evidence:		
	Appropriate training venue		
	Equipment inventory and LOLER documentation		
	MH management arrangement documentation / training		
	records		
	Reference section 1.1 and 1.4		

4	The organisation has systems in place to assess the risks arising from MH activities and to identify control measures			
4.	A clear documented process for recording MH risk	Outcome	Comments	
	assessments and outcomes	Yes	Evidence 1, see sections 3, 4.5, 5.3 and appendix 2	
	 Examples of evidence: Management arrangements describing MH risk assessment process MH risk assessment documentation in place and meets minimum criteria in section 3C Completed risk assessments and resultant safe systems of work Reference section 1.1, 1.2 and 3C 			

4	.2	There is clear delegation of MH risk assessment	Outcome	Comments
		responsibilities	Yes	Evidence 1 - see section 5
		Examples of evidence:		
		 Management arrangements identify delegated responsibilities System in place for ensuring competence of those undertaking MH risk assessment roles as detailed in Section 3B.2 		
		Reference section 1.1, 1.2 and 3B.2		

5	The organisation has systems to identify learning needs of employees including a suitable and sufficient education programme to address needs identified			
5.1	A suitable and sufficient education programme as informed by the SMHPS has been developed.	Outcome	Comments	
		Yes	Evidence 1 - See section 6	
	 Examples of evidence: MH education strategy Education programme for those undertaking key MH roles at local level eg MH risk assessors Training course plans / bookings on internal training course management systems 		Evidence 6 - M&H Education overview	
	Reference section 1.1			
5.2	The organisation has an established process in place to ensure that new start employees do not undertake any higher risk handling activities until it has been identified whether they have undertaken foundation training.	Yes	Evidence 1, see section 6.3 Evidence 7 - Induction Checklist	
	 Examples of Evidence: Process identified within management arrangements Induction record documentation (eg appendix 8) Training records 			
	Reference section 1.1 and 1.4			

5.3	Systems to identify learning needs of employees.	Outcome	Comments
	Examples of evidence:	Yes	Evidence 8 - Competency assesment record form
	 Appropriately completed risk assessments Audit reports MH competency assessments / self assessments Evidence of advice sought and actions taken Reference section 1.1 and 1.4 		Evidence 9 - Competency assessment criteria Evidence 10 - Self assessment record form
5.4	The organisation ensures that contracted external training providers (where used) meet the SMHPS standards.	N/A	Not Applicable - no external training providers used
	Examples of evidence:		

6	The organisation records training in line with the SMHPS		
6.1	Employee's attendance at MH education is recorded.	Outcome	Comments
	Examples of evidence: • Attendance Records	Yes	Evidence 11 - Traing attendance record form.
	 Course cancellation records Failure to attend records 		
	Reference section 1.5		
6.2	Training is planned and recorded with reference to the guidance outlined in the SMHPS.	Yes	Evidence 12 - Training record of course content
	 Examples of evidence: Training records meet the minimum criteria of the SMHPS Process for nominating employees onto training courses System for recall and update training Lesson plans and handouts 		
	Reference section 1.5 and 3C		

6.3	, , ,	Outcome	Comments
	attendance, non-attendance and non-achievement of the learning outcomes within the organisation	Yes	Evidence 13 - SOP for course non-attendance / non-completion
	 Examples of evidence: Risk Management Committee minutes Health and Safety Committee minutes MH Committee minutes 		
	Reference section 1.5		
6.4	The organisation retains evidence of trainees' attendance at foundation training modules to enable transfer of information between participating organisations:	Yes	- Electronic record on Empower, can be provided on request
	 Examples of evidence: Electronic or paper passport documents Reference to passport document within employee induction literature 		
	Reference section 1.5 and 3C		

7	The organisation has systems to audit, monitor and review MH incidents and practices			
7.	Suitable arrangements are in place to monitor practices within	Outcome	Comments	
	the workplace.	Yes	Evidence 6, 8 and 9	
	 Examples of evidence: Appropriately completed risk assessments Audit reports MH competency assessments Evidence of advice sought and actions taken Reference section 1.1 and 1.7			

7.2	Adverse incidents that result as a consequence of MH tasks	Outcome	Comments
	or activities are reported, investigated, reviewed, and appropriate action taken, with lessons learnt communicated.	Yes	Evidence 14 - Quarterly management report
	 Examples of evidence: Completed incident and RIDDOR reports Investigation / lessons learnt reports Unit / department / team meeting minutes Health and safety committee minutes Risk registers / action plans Reference section 1.1 and 1.8		
7.3	There is a support network within or available to the organisation for access by managers and employees which:	Yes	Evidence 1, section 5.5-7
	 Supports MH education provided Allows for monitoring of practice in the workplace Allows for provision of advice for more complex handling situations Enables employees to undertake safe practice 		
	 Examples of evidence: Identified competent person(s) employed by the organisation to provide MH support and advice Identified competent external person/agency contracted by the organisation to provide MH support and advice Evidence of competent workplace employees with enhanced MH skills / knowledge eg link workers, key workers 		
	Reference section 1.1 and 1.7		

7.4	A system is in place to audit and review the MH	Outcome	Comments
	management arrangements and to ensure compliance with	Yes	Evidence 15 - SMHPS completed audit form
	SMHPS guidance		
	Examples of evidence:		
	Completed MH management arrangement audits		
	Completed SMHPS Compliance Audits		
	MH management arrangements outline process		
	Reference section 1.1 and 1.8		

8	The organisation has provided foundation training that meets the aims & learning outcomes specified within the SMHPS									
8.1	Length of training is commensurate with time scales set	Outcome	Comments							
	against each module.	Yes	Evidence 16 - Foundation training timetable							
	Examples of evidence:									
	 Training plans meet minimum suggested module delivery time as per SMHPS 									
	Reference section 2.2 to 2.7									
8.2	There is a process in place to ascertain individuals fitness to	Yes	Evidence 12							
	engage in the practical aspects of training prior to participating									
	' ' '									
	Examples of evidence:Heath questionnaires									
	Annual health and safety report									
	Training risk assessment									
	Trainer training plans outlines this requirement									
	Reference section 1.5 and 3C									
8.3	Foundation training contains standard elements as per	Yes	Evidence 12							
	SMHPS modules, as appropriate to needs.									
	Examples of evidence:									
	Training / module plans / records									
	Reference section 2.2 to 2.7									

9	The organisation has implemented a system of assessment of competence and / or formal refresher/update education where the MH risk assessment has identified MH education as a control measure.								
9.1	Formal refresher/update education programme or	Outcome	Comments						
	competence assessment occurs at appropriate time intervals.	Yes	Evidence 6						
	Examples of evidence:								
	Organisation or local risk assessments								
	MH education strategy								
	Training records								
	Reference section 2.8								
9.2	Systems are in place to assist in the identification of specific gaps in an individuals knowledge / skills which influences the	Yes	Evidence 7, 8, 9 and 10						
	need for refresher / update education.								
	Examples of evidence:								
	Self assessment documentation								
	Induction record								
	Reference section 1.4 and 2.8								

10	The organisation has appropriate MH documentation that meets the minimum criteria identified in the SMHPS									
10.1	The organisation's MH documentation meets the minimum	Outcome	Comments							
	criteria in the SMHPS.	Yes	Evidence 7, 8, 11 and 12							
	 Examples of evidence: Attendance and/or Record of Training documentation MH risk assessment documentation Reference section 3C		Evidence 17 - Passport booklet / Certificate of training Evidence 18 - Individual assessment forms							

10.2	The documentation is completed accurately.	Outcome	Comments
	Examples of evidence:	Yes	Evidence 19 - audit template and SOP
	 A random sample of Attendance Records are audited A random sample of Records of Training are audited A random sample of MH risk assessments are audited as per organisational guidance 		
	Reference section 3C		

Scoring methodology

- 1. The assessors will indicate their assessment of compliance with each of the various 'Areas', by ticking 'Yes', 'No' or 'Partial'. Where more than one element of evidence has been reviewed each of these elements will contribute to the assessment mark for each area of assessment. A 'Not Applicable' mark (N/A) in Section 5.4 should be scored the same as for a 'Yes'.
- 2. The allocation of scores for each of the 'Areas' will then be made by the assessor, based on the assessments of compliance and the associated comments. The scoring will be: Yes or N/A = 2 / Partial = 1 / No = 0
- 3. On completion of the audit the scores should be transferred to the Scoring Table

Scoring table

	Score	Max score	%		Score	Max score	%		Score	Max score	%		Score	Max score	%
1	4	4	100	2	4	4	100	3	6	6	100	4	4	4	100
5	8	8	100	6	8	8	100	7	8	8	100	8	6	6	100
9	4	4	100	10	4	4	100				TO	Γ AL	56	56	100

Scoring guidelines for manual handling compliance audit

The table below gives guidance on identifying the overall 'Audit Response Score' as identified by the 'Total' percentage score:

Response	Score	Rationale
Nil Compliance	0%	No compliance anywhere in the organisation with any of the requirements set by the criterion.
Partial - Low Compliance	1 - 29%	 A low degree of organisation wide compliance with the requirements set by the criterion Demonstrable evidence that a start has been made towards compliance in some or all parts of the organisation Percentage of compliance based on professional judgement by competent persons as part of the self-assessment process
Partial - Moderate Compliance	30 - 69%	 A moderate degree of organisation wide compliance with the requirements set by the criterion Demonstrable evidence that work is ongoing across most parts of the organisation to achieve compliance, though some directorates or departments may be in the very early stages of compliance Percentage of compliance based on professional judgement by competent persons as part of the self-assessment process
Partial - High Compliance	70 - 99%	 Substantive organisation wide compliance with all requirements set by the criterion Demonstrable evidence that most parts of the organisation are meeting most of the requirements set by the criterion Only minor non-compliance requiring, in the main, minor action Percentage of compliance based on professional judgement by competent persons as part of the self-assessment process
Full Compliance	100%	Full compliance across the whole organisation with all requirements set by the criterion.

The scoring of the audit is important to provide a robust indication of overall compliance for benchmarking purposes and for demonstrating improvement over time. At the same time, it is important to recognise that it is the action planning and implementation processes resulting from self assessment against the audit that dictates its success. Therefore, when auditors are presenting their reports recommendations should be provided identifying what actions are required.